1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND/NORTHERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
4	CRIMINAL NO.
5	v. 04-0029
6	
7	WILLIE MITCHELL, ET AL. July 27, 2007
8	Defendants
9	/
10	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTIONS HEARING
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDRE M. DAVIS,
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	APPEARANCES:
14	On behalf of the United States:
15	Michael Hanlon, AUSA Robert Harding, AUSA
16 17	On behalf of the defendants:
18	Laura K. Rhodes, Esquire Timothy Sullivan, Esquire
19	Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Joshua R. Treem, Esquire
20	James Pyne, Esquire Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire
21	Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire
22 23	Reported By:
24	Jacqueline Sovich, RPR, CMR, FOCRR
25	Official Court Reporter
26	

```
1
                     (PROCEEDINGS)
 2
                THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.
 3
                Counsel, good morning. It appears, counsel, that
      regretably, this case ill start as it is has encountered
 4
      additional turbulence.
 5
                As counsel are aware, in the last several weeks,
 6
 7
      there have emerged two instances of conflict of interest, one
 8
      involving counsel for Mr. Mitchell and one involving counsel
      for Mr. Harris.
9
10
                In connection with the matter involving Mr. Mitchell,
11
      Mr. Sullivan brought this matter promptly to the attention of
12
      the Court in consultation with Mr. Sullivan and Miss Shearer,
      the Court-appointed counsel, to conduct an investigation into
13
14
      the matter, and counsel has submitted to the Court a
15
      confidential report.
16
                And the Court thanks Mr. Paul Kemp for his work on
      the matter. Among other things, Mr. Kemp attempted to
17
      interview Mr. Mitchell so as to explore the issues relating to
18
19
      the apparent conflict, which arose quite unexpectedly in the
20
      last couple of months as a result of an arrest that was, so far
      as the Court is aware, entirely fortuitous and unconnected to
21
22
      any of the matters in this case.
23
                Mr. Mitchell declined to participate with Mr. Kemp in
24
      a discussion of the matter, and Mr. Kemp has exercised his
25
      professional judgment and made a recommendation to the Court
```

- 1 that Mr. Sullivan cannot continue in the case under the
- 2 circumstances.
- 3 That was a preliminary view that I had taken of the
- 4 matter early on, and under the circumstances, Mr. Kemp's
- 5 recommendation was not a surprise.
- Indisputably, a more grave matter arose involving Mr.
- 7 Treem's successor counsel to Mr. Harris. I believe all of
- 8 counsel have been privy to the exchange of correspondence
- 9 between Mr. Treem and the government, and in particular, I
- 10 believe all of counsel have received Mr. Martin's more recent
- 11 letter to the Court, Mr. Treem's co-counsel on behalf of Mr.
- 12 Harris.
- 13 It seems to me to be perfectly obvious,
- 14 notwithstanding the government's effort to cure the difficulty,
- 15 that Mr. Treem cannot continue as counsel to Mr. Harris.
- Insofar as the government's response to this
- 17 conflict, it seems to me that Mr. Martin's letter really says
- 18 it all, and I can hardly add to what Mr. Martin has said.
- I will make all of these letters a part of the Court
- 20 file to the extent they have not yet been made part of the
- 21 Court file.
- 22 So Mr. Harding and Mr. Hanlon, Mr. Hanlon's not
- 23 present, Mr. Harding, I'll be glad to hear from you in any
- 24 further response that you wish to make to these matters, but it
- appears to me that what's going to have to happen is that the

- 1 Court's going to enter an order discharging Mr. Sullivan and
- 2 Mr. Treem from any further participation in the case and
- 3 continue these matters.
- 4 I have considered under the circumstances whether it
- 5 would be appropriate, advisable, and just to continue the trial
- of Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris only and to proceed in September
- 7 in the case of Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner. As I said in my
- 8 memorandum to counsel several weeks ago, there was a time when
- 9 I was fully prepared for severance and to try this case more
- 10 than once. But for reasons I think the record clearly shows,
- 11 the Court is not remotely interested in doing that. The Court
- wants to try this case once, and only once.
- So, under the circumstances, it seems to me not
- advisable to proceed with the trial of Mr. Gardner and Mr.
- 15 March 10 in September as presently calendared, and it appears
- to me that what's going to have to happen, the case is going to
- 17 have to be continued.
- 18 I have already conferred with Miss Shearer, who is,
- 19 as always, hard at work trying to find successor counsel. It
- 20 appears that she's going to have to go some distance from this
- 21 city, if not from this district, in order to achieve that.
- The difficulty is redoubled, of course, because, as I
- 23 said in my memorandum to counsel, Mr. Kurland has really bent
- over backwards to make himself available to us and to Mr.
- 25 Gardner as co-counsel, and I certainly want very much for Mr.

- 1 Kurland to stay with the case, and I will hope that he would do
- 2 everything that's humanly possible to make that happen.
- But at the same time, I have to recognize that we've
- 4 already asked an awful lot of him and of his employer, Howard
- 5 University School of Law, and so if it is to be that Miss
- 6 Shearer has to find three counsel to come into the case, then
- 7 all three and a half years into the prosecution, since the
- 8 filing of the indictment, then that's what justice is likely to
- 9 require.
- 10 So I'll hear from you, Mr. Harding. I can only say
- 11 that if now 20 years into the Sentencing Reform Act and the
- 12 application of the guidelines, substantial assistance has
- 13 become the coin of the realm, it seems to me that the banker
- 14 has a special duty to tend to the market.
- And without finding fault, and I don't find fault
- here, I don't think it's necessary, or appropriate, if
- 17 substantial assistance is what it is, then the banker owes a
- 18 special responsibility to the market to keep the market true.
- 19 And Mr. Treem never should have been brought into
- 20 this case. Never should have been brought into this case.
- 21 Never should have been asked to step into this case.
- 22 And I regret very much that we have hit this stone
- 23 wall.
- So Mr. Harding?
- 25 MR. HARDING: Well, I'm sorry the Court has gotten so

- 1 far along the road to a decision in this matter, but Mr.
- 2 Martin's letter is in fact based on a completely erroneous
- 3 assumption about the government's position with respect to
- 4 these two witnesses.
- 5 Mr. Martin says, quote, "There is no doubt that Mr.
- 6 Harding would, absent Mr. Treem, call them to the stand in this
- 7 case. By deciding not to call them, Mr. Harding denies them
- 8 significant benefits negotiated by Mr. Treem's partner."
- 9 That statement is 100 percent incorrect, Your Honor.
- 10 What appears to have happened here is that Mr. Treem obtained
- 11 materials from Mr. Gardner's attorney that were turned over a
- 12 year and a half ago to Mr. Gardner's attorneys.
- THE COURT: On the Court's instruction, of course.
- MR. HARDING: Yes. And this material included Jencks
- 15 material and Giglio material at the same time.
- 16 Giglio material, including information that bears
- 17 negatively on government witnesses, or that may contain
- 18 information inconsistent with what government witnesses
- 19 intended to say.
- The two witnesses cited by Mr. Treem were a guy named
- 21 Tyree Stewart and a guy named Jermaine Johnson. Tyree Stewart
- 22 was not going to be called as a witness in this case. He
- 23 doesn't have information about these defendants pertinent
- enough to make him worth calling as a witness.
- 25 Tyree Stewart had information, though, about

- 1 government witnesses, and we turned over -- neither of these
- 2 witnesses testified in the federal grand jury in this case.
- 3 Neither of them has ever been identified as a government
- 4 witness in this case. But Tyree Stewart gave proffers and
- 5 reports to the government in interviews that the government
- 6 turned over, and a plea agreement with a statement of facts
- 7 that the government turned over to Mr. Coburn and to Mr.
- 8 Kurland in an abundance of caution, because he had statements
- 9 about other government witnesses that bore negatively on them.
- 10 The same is true of Jermaine Johnson, as is
- 11 completely obvious from the report that the government turned
- over, he had statements that were inconsistent with an
- important government witness.
- Jermaine Johnson is a little bit different, because
- 15 he's not even a government cooperator. He has never been a
- 16 government cooperator. He's never had a plea agreement with
- 17 the government.
- 18 In other words, it's completely untrue that he would
- 19 be denied significant benefits if the government failed to call
- 20 him as a witness.
- 21 He has no pending case that the government is aware
- of. The government believes he's out on the street somewhere.
- 23 He was represented by an associate of Mr. Treem's firm, not by
- Mr. Ravenell directly, but by an associate who worked
- 25 underneath Mr. Ravenell in a state case some years ago.

```
1
                And, yes, he was represented by this quy.
 2
                However, the government can refrain from calling him
 3
      as a witness, and he will not be denied any benefits, because
      he doesn't have a pending case. He doesn't have any agreement
 4
 5
      with the government whatsoever.
                He was called as a witness in the state case against
 6
      Mr. Gardner in the state murder trial because they needed
 7
 8
      testimony about a single phone conversation that he had made
      with the government witness back at the time prior to the
9
10
      murder of Tania Jones Spence. He was on the stand for
11
      approximately a minute in relevant testimony. There was also
      some cross-examination by the defense attorney.
12
13
                The defense attorney -- and there were some
14
      preliminaries, but all he basically testified to was a single
      phone conversation. And the point was to identify who was
15
16
      using the phone at that point in time.
17
                The government can eschew calling him from, refrain
18
      from calling him for that purpose without any damage whatsoever
19
      to the government's case. And it's completely incorrect to
20
      say, by deciding not to call him, Mr. Harding denies him
21
      significant benefits negotiated by Mr. Treem's partner.
                In other words, Your Honor, there is no conflict of
22
23
      interest with either of these witnesses.
24
                I should add that the other reason for turning over
25
      Jermaine Johnson's statements, his reports, again, there was no
```

- 1 grand jury testimony, no plea agreement with the government of
- any sort, no indication that he was a government witness, but
- 3 the reason for turning over his reports was also that he gave a
- 4 version of the events leading up to the Tania Jones Spence
- 5 murder that were different from important government witnesses.
- 6 And so it was Giglio material. That's the primary reason for
- 7 turning over his --
- 8 THE COURT: Was it labeled as such?
- 9 MR. HARDING: It was -- if I may, we don't go through
- 10 and label our information.
- 11 THE COURT: Of course you don't. I knew the answer to
- 12 the question before I asked it. You see where I'm going, if
- you deliver a stack of 6's and 302's and grand jury testimony
- 14 and police statements and unsworn statements, how is defense
- 15 counsel supposed to know? And maybe there's a clear answer to
- 16 this. How is defense counsel supposed to know who's a
- 17 government witness? I just -- how is defense counsel supposed
- 18 to know it was merely Giglio, even assuming, and I do assume
- 19 and I do accept absolutely your representations as to the
- 20 characterization of all of this, how --
- 21 MR. HARDING: I think it was obvious to anyone who
- 22 read the report. It says in the --
- 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What report?
- MR. HARDING: This is the report that was turned over
- 25 as Giglio material to Mr. Coburn and Mr. Kurland a year and a

- 1 half ago, and which Mr. Treem apparently got a copy of and
- 2 assumed that this guy was going to be a government witness.
- 3 THE COURT: And what is the report from whom, by
- 4 whom, dated what?
- 5 MR. HARDING: It's a Baltimore County Police report
- 6 by a Detective Phil Marl, and it's dated and September 6th,
- 7 2002. The first sentence of the first full paragraph says Mr.
- 8 Johnson was asked if he was familiar with subjects named Goo
- 9 and E, and he said no.
- 10 And then it goes on to detail what he said. And the
- 11 final sentence is "It should be noted in William Montgomery's
- 12 proffer, Johnson does in fact know Gardner and Holly, and he
- was an active participant in the planning of the robbery of
- 14 Tania Jones Spence."
- THE COURT: Who's the he?
- MR. HARDING: Mr. Johnson.
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Montgomery is telling the detective
- 18 or somebody that Johnson was the active participant?
- 19 MR. HARDING: Yes. In the planning, not in the
- 20 actual execution.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 MR. HARDING: But Johnson is in fact the one who
- 23 identified where the Spences lived. That was his role in all
- of this. And he denies this. He denied it in his police
- 25 report.

- 1 Therefore, he gave a story inconsistent with Will
- 2 Montgomery's story. Therefore, his statements to the police
- 3 are Giglio material as to Will Montgomery.
- 4 That's the primary reason for turning over that
- 5 report to Mr. Kurland and Mr. Coburn a year and a half ago.
- 6 So the government's position is, Your Honor, that Mr.
- 7 Treem does not have a conflict of interest by any stretch of
- 8 the imagination.
- 9 THE COURT: Did you want to any anything more about
- 10 Stewart?
- 11 MR. HARDING: I'm sorry, about Stewart?
- 12 THE COURT: Yeah. Stewart has a plea agreement with
- 13 your office, correct?
- MR. HARDING: Yes.
- THE COURT: To cooperate for substantial assistance?
- MR. HARDING: Yes. He gave information in those
- 17 reports that were turned over also about several government
- 18 witnesses, Darius Spence and Will Montgomery and others.
- 19 THE COURT: Did not testify in the grand jury?
- MR. HARDING: He did not testify in the grand jury.
- 21 There should have been no reason to assume that he was going to
- be called as a government witness, but Mr. Treem appears to
- have assumed so.
- The government made it clear in its letter that Mr.
- 25 Treem did not have a conflict of interest in this matter.

- 1 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I have to disagree with you
- on that, Mr. Harding, if we're talking about the same letter.
- 3 Which letter are you referring to?
- 4 MR. HARDING: I'm referring to my letter, Your Honor,
- 5 of July 17th, 2007. I'm sorry, that's the wrong one.
- July, I'm sorry, June 28th, 2007. We received Mr.
- 7 Treem's letter of June 25, 2007.
- 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Harding. You call that
- 9 making something clear? These three sentences? Excuse me.
- 10 Five sentences. One paragraph.
- 11 MR. HARDING: Well, Your Honor, if --
- 12 THE COURT: I mean, you didn't say any of what you
- just said at any time before just now.
- MR. HARDING: We clearly identified, we said that,
- 15 quote, "Mr. Treem will not have a conflict of interest in the
- 16 above-captioned case."
- 17 THE COURT: And that's what you mean by making it
- 18 clear?
- 19 MR. HARDING: We will not call one of the witnesses.
- 20 THE COURT: That's what you mean by making clear?
- 21 MR. HARDING: Yes, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. You should complete that sentence,
- 23 quote, "The government will not call one of the witnesses Mr.
- 24 Treem is concerned about, and we are exploring whether he has a
- 25 conflict with respect to the other."

```
1 MR. HARDING: Yes.
```

- THE COURT: I didn't know the name of the witness
- 3 that you were referring to in that sentence. I didn't know why
- 4 you thought not calling the witness would cure the problem.
- 5 The government never told me that Mr. Treem was incorrect in
- 6 identifying these two individuals as likely government
- 7 witnesses or at a minimum government cooperators.
- 8 MR. HARDING: Judge, it wasn't the government's
- 9 intent, in writing this letter, to fully brief the Court on
- 10 facts underlying this whole issue. It was simply to alert the
- 11 Court that the government took a different position from Mr.
- 12 Treem's.
- We had no idea that the Court was proposing to reach
- 14 a decision on this matter before hearing from the government.
- THE COURT: Well, this was hearing from the
- 16 government, the June 28th letter. I mean, trial was scheduled
- 17 for September 17th. When was I going to hear from the
- 18 government?
- I heard from the government in the June 28, 2007
- letter, and having received what I received, in consultation
- 21 with Miss Shearer --
- 22 MR. HARDING: I had no idea that the Court was going
- 23 to consider Mr. Treem's claimed conflict so seriously that it
- 24 would guide this Court's decision-making on whether to have a
- trial this fall or not, and Mr. Sullivan's conflict or Ms.

- 1 Rhodes's conflict, I'm not sure which one has a conflict, the
- 2 Court has never disclosed to the government at all.
- THE COURT: All I can say is Mr. Treem's response to
- 4 your letter says that Mr. Ravenell represented both Mr. Stewart
- 5 and Mr. Johnson quote "Stewart has extensive information about
- 6 Mr. Montgomery, and Johnson testified at Gardner's state trial.
- 7 Mr. Stewart has yet to be sentenced."
- 8 I don't know what else I was supposed to do.
- 9 MR. HARDING: Judge, there was one other thing that I
- 10 needed to clarify, and which I have still not have clarified
- 11 it, which is whether or not we needed to call Jermaine Johnson
- 12 as to that one phone conversation or not. Whether the
- 13 testimony on that limited tiny issue would have constituted a
- 14 conflict of interest as to Mr. Treem who represented a
- 15 defendant against whom that testimony was completely
- irrelevant, because Mr. Treem's client had nothing to do with
- 17 this particular incident. So I was interested in pursuing some
- 18 legal research on that issue.
- 19 But, in any event, he's not -- he cannot -- it is
- 20 completely inaccurate to say that the government would deny him
- 21 significant benefits by not calling him as a witness because he
- doesn't have a plea agreement. He's not a cooperator.
- THE COURT: Referring to Mr. Johnson?
- MR. HARDING: Yes.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Harding, I don't want to

- 1 put too fine a point on it, but there is no tiny issue in a
- 2 capital case. There is no tiny issue. And this discussion
- 3 that we've had for the last 10 minutes or so really is the best
- 4 evidence yet in a capital case of why we've heard over and over
- 5 again death is different and the T's have to be crossed, and
- 6 the I's have to be dotted. And I regret if you were waiting
- 7 for some indication from the Court that I wanted and needed
- 8 more from you, from the government, but I thought the matter
- 9 was grave.
- 10 Mr. Treem is not one taken to hysterical claims. He
- is a distinguished, as are all our counsel, member of the bar
- of this Court, who takes his professional responsibilities
- seriously, and I accepted the written submissions that I got.
- And, again, it just wasn't time for careful legal research on a
- 15 question where, from what I could tell from the record, it was
- a pretty clear and blatant conflict for Mr. Ravenell to be
- 17 representing a person such as Mr. Stewart with a plea agreement
- 18 with the government awaiting sentencing.
- 19 And I couldn't agree more that there is no cure that
- 20 says deprive Mr. Stewart of the benefits of his cooperation
- 21 agreement in order to cure the conflict that is manifest in the
- 22 record.
- MR. HARDING: Judge --
- THE COURT: I don't agree, either, again, I couldn't
- 25 disagree more strongly with your suggestion that just because

- one witness gave short what you call unimportant testimony in
- 2 Mr. Gardner's state murder trial that that's of no moment here.
- 3 It is. This is a racketeering conspiracy. As we have spent
- 4 hours in the course of these hearings, evidence against one
- 5 defendant is evidence against all of the defendants.
- 6 That's the foundation. That's why this case is
- 7 structured the way it is. It's exactly the government's theory
- 8 of the case.
- 9 And a witness in Mr. Gardner's state murder trial,
- 10 who testified for two minutes about who made a phone call or
- 11 who was present when a phone call is made is evidence against
- 12 Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Martin, and Mr. Harris. And there is no
- unimportant evidence in a capital case.
- I just can't be any more clear than that.
- 15 Any defense counsel want to be heard?
- MR. COBURN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Coburn, you may approach the podium.
- 18 MR. COBURN: Thank you so much.
- 19 Your Honor, with respect to Tyree Stewart, I'm not as
- 20 conversant with exactly how he would fit into this case as I
- 21 probably should be at this moment.
- Mr. Kurland may actually know more detail about than
- 23 I do right now.
- 24 With respect to Jermaine Johnson, I can tell Your
- 25 Honor he plays a critical role with respect to Tania Spence

- 1 murder.
- 2 And without getting into necessary a lot of detail
- 3 about it, I'm looking at his state testimony in Mr. Garner's
- 4 trial right now. His testimony was not just about cell phone
- 5 conversation with a young woman. He also testified about an
- 6 in-person conversation with Willie Montgomery, who's the person
- 7 who actually planned the Spence murder.
- 8 THE COURT: That's the cross-examination Mr. Harding
- 9 alluded to.
- 10 MR. COBURN: That's the direct examination, and it's
- 11 also covered under cross-examination. His testimony goes
- 12 approximately 22 or 23 transcript pages, and he is, in my view,
- a very substantial and significant witness with respect to that
- 14 murder and was in the state trial. I just wanted to let Your
- 15 Honor know that.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Coburn.
- 17 Mr. Kurland?
- 18 MR. KURLAND: Judge, just to briefly add a couple
- 19 things, Tyree Stewart more problematic, but one of the things
- 20 with Jermaine Johnson, without going into the full details as
- 21 to the nature of our defense, that will be a witness that would
- 22 be -- it's critical to the defense, so a government position
- 23 not to call him on direct would obviously not eliminate the
- issue of him being a witness in the case.
- THE COURT: Obviously. Obviously, that's true about

- 1 Mr. Johnson and Mr. Stewart. And any other potential, or
- 2 government witness for anybody else in the whole world.
- 3 MR. KURLAND: True.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kurland.
- 5 MR. KURLAND: Thank you, Judge.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Treem, anything to add?
- 7 MR. TREEM: No, Your Honor. I think the record
- 8 speaks for itself in this matter.
- 9 THE COURT: Anything from other counsel, Mr.
- 10 Sullivan?
- 11 MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, counsel.
- 13 The case is continued, generally, the pretrial conference is
- 14 canceled.
- The Court will enter an order striking the appearance
- of Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Treem.
- 17 Miss Shearer, as I say, is already hard at work to
- 18 identify successor counsel.
- 19 I should have asked you, Mr. Kurland, when you were
- 20 at the lectern, are you able to please --
- 21 DEFENDANT MITCHELL: May I speak, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: No, Mr. Mitchell.
- 23 Mr. Kurland, I know that it's all but impossible for
- 24 you, I assume it's all but impossible, but in the case, what is
- 25 your situation?

- 1 MR. KURLAND: Well, Judge, I will, apropos to your
- 2 comments here, I will do whatever I possibly can to remain in
- 3 the case. I believe that that's in the best interest of my
- 4 client.
- 5 Obviously, when the case would be scheduled again
- 6 would be -- I obviously would need to know that. Obviously, I
- 7 have to talk to the Dean and if it -- I don't know what other
- 8 kind of communications are appropriate from the Court, but the
- 9 law school's been fairly flexible.
- I -- it's close.
- 11 THE COURT: I don't want to lose Dean Schmoke's
- 12 friendship over this.
- MR. KURLAND: No, but Dean Schmoke, within the bounds
- of what can be done, Dean Schmoke recognizes, you know, the
- importance or whatever.
- So I think, from my standpoint, I would need to know
- 17 when the case is going to be rescheduled for, and to the extent
- 18 -- I mean, obviously, it's low on the totem pole of hierarchy
- of importance, but with respect to a case that is probably
- 20 going to go several months, from my, the scheduling standpoint,
- 21 it only impacts on one semester, it's a better chance that I
- 22 can get the Dean and Associate Dean to work with it.
- 23 At this point, I'm not going no tell the Court or
- 24 Miss Shearer that I want to get out. But with respect to how
- 25 the scheduling is going to proceed and when a date's going to

- 1 be picked --
- THE COURT: All right. Well, I have no idea, of
- 3 course, how successful -- well, I know she'll be successful,
- 4 but I don't have any idea about is how long it will take her to
- 5 be successful, under the circumstances, to obtain successor
- 6 counsel to Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris.
- 7 But I suppose I can hope against hope that say by
- 8 Halloween we could actually have two people identified who will
- 9 have agreed to take up this representation, and further hope
- 10 against hope that their calendars would be clear for a
- 11 four-month trial starting in September of '08. Because I know
- 12 that September would work best for you, correct, in terms of
- trying to get it all done in one academic semester?
- MR. KURLAND: It would be helpful, because when I go
- 15 back and talk, I mean, my personal schedule is sort of again
- it's relatively unimportant like everything else, but if I can
- go back and talk to the Dean's office with a ballpark, that the
- 18 tentative plan is for basically a year from now.
- I could let -- I mean, I could -- when does the Court
- 20 want me to let you or Miss Shearer know?
- 21 THE COURT: We've got to hear from Miss Shearer first.
- 22 I would ask counsel, please, hold harmless September, 2008. I
- 23 just can't in good conscience ask you to hold open any time
- 24 before that.
- 25 My quess is that most of you already are booked

- 1 through spring and early summer next year so it would be an
- 2 academic request, in any event. But please starting the date
- 3 after Labor Day next year, please don't calendar anything
- 4 without conferring with me first, if you can get away with
- 5 that, among my state and federal colleagues, because that's
- 6 what I'm hoping we're able to do, because I just don't have any
- 7 realistic possibility of thinking we can get somebody in here
- 8 and start this trial say in April or May of next year.
- 9 And I dare say the government, likewise, is committed
- 10 throughout that period. So I think we're talking about 12
- 11 months out.
- 12 All right. So we'll be in touch, Mr. Kurland, of
- 13 course, and give you the best information we can give you.
- 14 MR. KURLAND: I thank the Court for the Court's
- 15 consideration as well.
- THE COURT: Miss Rhodes?
- MS. RHODES: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 Regarding that date, I have a four-month trial
- 19 starting January death penalty case before Judge Chasanow.
- 20 THE COURT: '08?
- 21 MS. RHODES: So I would not be able to go
- 22 back-to-back four months or eight months.
- 23 THE COURT: How likely is that case to go, Miss
- 24 Rhodes? I mean you don't know.
- 25 MS. RHODES: It was noticed. It's with a

- 1 co-defendant, one co-defendant, and it's a series of I think
- 2 five, well, actually with the non death case, about six or
- 3 seven trials, we're the next to the last one going, and I think
- 4 it's -- you know, beyond that, I can't really say, other than
- 5 it has been noticed.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Yes, Mr. Harding?
- 7 MR. HARDING: If the Court is going to appoint new
- 8 counsel or have Miss Shearer look for new counsel, I would urge
- 9 the Court to ask her to consult with me with a view toward
- 10 avoiding any conflicts of interest.
- 11 THE COURT: Yeah, I think that's an excellent
- 12 suggestion, Mr. Harding, and one I was going to make.
- 13 Actually, what I would like from you, which I will share with
- 14 Miss Shearer, is as complete an order of proof and witness list
- and exhibit list as you can must some time before the middle of
- 16 September.
- Would you be willing to do that?
- 18 MR. HARDING: The exhibit list is a problem.
- 19 THE COURT: It's nothing that you're ever going to be
- 20 held to, nothing that anybody else other than myself and Miss
- 21 Shearer will ever look at. I'm not going to share it with
- 22 counsel.
- 23 MR. HARDING: I can provide Miss Shearer with a list
- of every possible government witness that I'm aware of.
- 25 THE COURT: I want you to give it to me. And I will

- 1 share it with Miss Shearer.
- 2 MR. HARDING: Okay. I'm happy to do that. I don't
- 3 know about the order of proof and the exhibit list because I'm
- 4 not sure those would help Ms. Shearer in the first place.
- And, secondly, those would -- the exhibit lists in
- 6 particular would be difficult to whip up. The witness list is
- 7 what she really needs.
- 8 THE COURT: Well, yeah, but I want exhibits, too.
- 9 Miss Shearer is a fountain of unbelievable information. She,
- 10 as you well know, she's our CJA coordinator, and she in the
- 11 back of her mind knows who represents whom, who, I mean, she
- 12 goes back years.
- So I want information that she can look at and maybe
- something will be triggered, maybe it won't. So I'm not asking
- 15 you to do work. I'm really not asking you to do work. All I'm
- 16 asking, and I'm not asking you to do it tomorrow. I said by
- 17 mid September at the latest, I would like to get from you total
- 18 list of witnesses, a list of possible exhibits, I'm not asking
- 19 for a summary of testimony or anything like that. Just order
- of proof. I mean, which murders, in what order? Just so that
- 21 I can sit down with Miss Shearer and see if anything triggers
- her memory.
- I don't want this to happen again. You don't want it
- 24 to happen again. None of us want it to happen again. So more
- is better, is all I'm saying.

```
1 MR. HARDING: And I guess --
2 THE COURT: And it will not -- it will be absolutely
3 in camera, I assure you. I assure you.
4 MR. HARDING: Okay. Does -- by order of proof, do
5 you mean exact order in which the government intends to call
6 witnesses?
7 THE COURT: No, no. I just mean you know are you
```

9 I'm using the term very loosely. I'm using it more loosely

going to prove it up chronologically, are you going to prove,

than you've ever heard it used, that's all. I don't want

11 anything exact. Okay.

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HARDING: Also --

13 THE COURT: I just what I want is some representation 14 of the government's case. That's really all I'm asking for by 15 way of witnesses, exhibits, and a timeline, that's really what 16 I mean by order of proof. Just basically a timeline.

And all these twists and turns and how they relate to each other, in outline fashion, that's all. I've never gotten that. I've never asked for it. You didn't fail by not giving it to me, but I really need to understand this case, as I've said, you know, two years ago, what this case is is like 22 prosecutions. That's what this case is. It's five murders, and then, you know, possession of this, and distribution of this, and possession of this handgun, and it's absolutely all

25 over the place.

- I mean, I sat through the motions hearing. We had
- 2 suppression hearings on traffic stops from 1999. That's what
- 3 this case is.
- 4 MR. HARDING: Okay. I'm happy to provide that to
- 5 Your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: All right.
- 7 MR. HARDING: I have one other concern, which is the
- 8 conflict that Mr. Mitchell's attorneys had. I have no notion
- 9 of what that might be. And I wonder if that is something,
- 10 first of all, I assume the Court does not choose to disclose
- 11 that to government or you would have done so already.
- 12 THE COURT: Exactly.
- MR. HARDING: But I want to alert the Court of the
- 14 possibility that could give rise to conflicts in the future and
- 15 I would have no knowledge.
- 16 THE COURT: Frankly, I can tell you this, you had no
- 17 control over this. There is nothing, absolutely nothing you
- 18 could have done to have anticipated or prevented that conflict.
- 19 Zero.
- 20 Am I right, Mr. Sullivan?
- 21 MR. SULLIVAN: Correct, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Absolutely nothing. It was a fortuity.
- 23 And, frankly, I will tell you that in a different case, or
- under different circumstances, Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Sullivan
- wouldn't have to be disqualified. So that's it.

1	MR. HARDING: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you all. We're in recess.
3	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
4	
5	
6	I, Jacqueline Sovich, RPR, CM, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
7	stenographic record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
8	
9	
10	Jacqueline Sovich DATE Official Court Reporter
11	official could Reported
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	